IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Atty. Docket

JBIRA

GB 020047

Serial: 10/511,211

Group Art Unit: 2627

Filed: 10/13/2004

Examiner: BIBBINS, LATANYA

MULTITRACK OPTICAL DISK READER

Certificate of Fax/Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to (571)273-8300 or deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, Mail Stop Appeal, P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313 on below date.

Michael Ure

(Name)

M Mag

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

Sir:

Responsive to the Examiner's Answer of 07/23/2007, Applicant replys as follows to new points of arguments raised in the Answer.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
... SEP 2 4 2007

CORRECT INTERPRETATION OF "MAXIMUM NUMBER OF TRACKS"

The broadest reasonable interpretation of a claim and the broadest possible interpretation of a claim are two different things. The Examiner's Answer takes the position that "the maximum number of tracks" recited in claim 6 should be interpreted as the total number of tracks on the optical disc. This interpretation is broader than is reasonable and contrary to the normal reading of the claim, and therefore incorrect.

Lines 2-3 of claim 6 recite "a multitrack optical pick up for reading data from multiple tracks of an optical disc and outputting data from each track in respective data streams." The later recitation "wherein the reader can use less than the maximum number of tracks" clearly and unambiguously refers back to this prior recitation. The maximum number of tracks is therefore properly understood to mean the maximum number of tracks that the multitrack optical pick up can read data and output data streams for at one time.

Reversal of the rejection and allowance of claim 6 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Ure, Reg. 33,089

Dated: 09/24/2007